UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,865 | 10/25/2001 | Stefan M. Pallazza | S63.2-9720 | 9976. |
| ⁴⁹⁰ VIDAS. ARRE | 7590 08/09/2007 ETT & STEINKRAUS, P | ЕХАМ | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344 | | | NGUYEN, VI X | |
| EDEN FRAIK | IE, MIN 33344 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3734 | |
| | | | <u></u> | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| 1 | L | |
|---|---|--|
| V | r | |
| 1 | 1 | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/682,865 | PALLAZZA, STEFAN M. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Victor X. Nguyen | 3734 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | • | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11,12,14,22,25,26,28,29 and 64-6 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,13,15,20,21,24-27 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,11,12,14,22 and 64-67 is/are rejee 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-9,28-29 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | <u>7 and 30-63</u> is/are withdrawn from cted. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species 1, claims 1-9,11,12,14,22,28-29,64-67 in the reply filed on 7/16/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,5,14 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guiset (U.S.4,183,102).

Guiset discloses in Figs. 5-6, a device for configuring an inflatable balloon of a balloon catheter assembly having the limitations of the above listed claims, including: a body comprises a plurality of inflatable members (24) defining a channel (29), where the channel sizes to accommodate at least a portion of a balloon catheter (27), where each inflatable member has a balloon contacting portion (21). In fact, Guiset et al disclose in fig. 7, quite clearly, the inflatable members extends part of the way about the channel but does not encircle the channel, and where a housing 26 is able to constrain the plurality of inflatable members.

Art Unit: 3734

Claims 1-6,11,12,14,22,64-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukashima et al. (U.S.5,350,361).

Tsukashima et al disclose a device for configuring an inflatable balloon of a balloon catheter assembly having the limitations of the above listed claims, including: a body comprises a plurality of inflatable members (30) defining a channel (44), where the channel sizes to accommodate at least a portion of a balloon catheter (22), where each inflatable member has a balloon contacting portion (31). In fact, Tsukashima et al disclose in figs. 7,9, quite clearly, the inflatable members extends part of the way about the channel but does not encircle the channel, and where a housing 40 is able to constrain the plurality of inflatable members. As to claims 2-6, 11-12,22 and 65-67, Tsukashima et al disclose the device comprises at least three inflatable members (fig.9). The inflatable members are tubular and have a circular cross section. The device further has a rigid tube (22). The tube has a first end with a first opening and a second end with a second opening that has a passage therethrough; and where the plurality of inflatable members are disposed in one spiral about the channel (figs.12), and where the inflatable members are generally tubular and have a generally wedge shaped (fig.8) where the balloon contacting portion is made of a compliant material and non-compliant materials such as latex, PET, Polyethylene or silicone (see col.4, lines 19-23), and where the body is disposed between first and second end supports, where the first and second end supports are joined by a plurality of connecting members that are spaced apart from the body.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7-9 and 28-29 which depend on claim 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

Art Unit: 3734

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses all of the limitations of claim 7 including, the device further comprises first and second end caps, where the first end cap disposed at the first end of the tube across the first opening and the second end cap disposed at the second end of the tube across the second opening, and where the inflatable members extend from the first and second end caps, the inflatable members in relative alignment with one another, the first and second end caps support the inflatable members.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/2007 and 7/16/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is asked to please refer to the modified prior art rejection above where examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

Art Unit: 3734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3734

VN 7/25/2007

> (JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Gray Tros